

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No. 12102 Investigation of Ground-water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming		Author(s) : Project Officer/Organization/Address : Dr. Dominic C. Digiulio, US EPA Robert S. Kerr ERC/ORD/NRMRL/GWERD 919 Kerr Research Drive Ada, OK 74820 580-436-8563 digiulio.cominic@epa.gov																												
Date Review Requested 10/13/11	Date Review Required 10/21/11	Type of Publication/Audience EPA Issue Paper																												
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO, TIM, Supervisor)		Reviewer/Organization/Address Dr. Jennifer McIntosh Dept. of Hydrology & Water Resources Univ. of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721																												
<p>You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in comments below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.</p>																														
<p align="center">SUMMARY RATING</p> <p>Please rate the manuscript as follows:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th align="center">SATISFACTORY</th> <th align="center">UNSATISFACTORY</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Content and scope</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Organization and presentation</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Soundness of conclusions</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Editorial Quality</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other (specify)</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> <td align="center">_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	Content and scope	_____	_____	Organization and presentation	_____	_____	Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques	_____	_____	Soundness of conclusions	_____	_____	Editorial Quality	_____	_____	Other (specify)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	<p align="center">RECOMMENDATIONS</p> <p>* (1) Acceptable as is _____</p> <p>* (2) Acceptable after minor revision _____</p> <p>* (3) Acceptable after major revision _____</p> <p>* (4) Not acceptable _____</p> <p>If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below.</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Reviewer's Signature _____ Date _____</p>	
	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY																												
Content and scope	_____	_____																												
Organization and presentation	_____	_____																												
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques	_____	_____																												
Soundness of conclusions	_____	_____																												
Editorial Quality	_____	_____																												
Other (specify)	_____	_____																												
_____	_____	_____																												
_____	_____	_____																												
Comments: (Use extra sheets if needed)																														